

Item No. 5.	Classification: Open	Date: 6 February 2020	Meeting Name: Licensing Sub-Committee
Report Title		Licensing Act 2003: Viet Quan, 320 - 322 Old Kent Road, London SE1 5UE	
Ward(s) of group(s) affected		Faraday	
From		Strategic Director of Environment and Leisure	

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the licensing sub-committee considers whether an application submitted by Viet Qoan Limited for a premises licence be granted under the Licensing Act 2003 in respect of the premises known as Viet Quan, 320 - 322 Old Kent Road, London SE1 5UE.
2. Notes:
 - a) The application is for a premises licence and was submitted under Section 17 of the Licensing Act 2003. The application is subject to representations and is therefore referred to the licensing sub-committee for determination.
 - b) Paragraphs 9 and 10 of this report provide a summary of the application under consideration by the sub-committee. A copy of the full application is attached as Appendix B.
 - c) Paragraphs 16 to 20 of this report deal with the representations submitted in respect of the application. Copies of the representations are attached to this report as Appendix C. A map showing the location of the premises is attached to this report as Appendix H.
 - d) A copy of the council's approved procedure for hearings of the sub-committee in relation to applications made under the Licensing Act 2003, along with a copy of the hearing regulations, has been circulated to all parties to the meeting.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The Licensing Act 2003

3. The Licensing Act 2003 provides a licensing regime for:
 - The sale of and supply of alcohol
 - The provision of regulated entertainment
 - The provision of late night refreshment.
4. Within Southwark, the licensing responsibility is wholly administered by this council.
5. The Act requires the licensing authority to carry out its functions under the Act with a view to promoting the four stated licensing objectives. These are:
 - The prevention of crime and disorder

- The promotion of public safety
 - The prevention of nuisance
 - The protection of children from harm.
6. In carrying out its licensing functions, a licensing authority must also have regard to
- The Act itself
 - The guidance to the act issued under Section 182 of the Act
 - Secondary regulations issued under the Act
 - The licensing authority's own statement of licensing policy
 - The application, including the operating schedule submitted as part of the application
 - Relevant representations.
7. The premises licence application process involves the provision of all relevant information required under the Act to the licensing authority with copies provided by the applicant to the relevant responsible bodies under the Act. The application must also be advertised at the premises and in the local press. The responsible authorities and other persons within the local community may make representations on any part of the application where relevant to the four licensing objectives.

KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

The premises licence application

8. On 11 December 2019 Viet Qoan Limited applied to this council for the grant of a premises licence in respect of 320 – 322 Old Kent Road, London SE1 5UE.
9. The application is summarised as follows:
- **Films and anything similar to live or recorded music:**
 - Sunday to Thursday: 11:00 to 00:00 (midnight)
 - Friday: 11:00 to 01:00
 - Saturday: 11:00 to 03:00
 - **Live music, recorded music, late night refreshment and the sale of alcohol to be consumed on the premises:**
 - Sunday to Thursday: 23:00 to 00:00
 - Friday: 23:00 to 01:00
 - Saturday: 23:00 to 03:00
 - **Proposed opening hours of the premises:**
 - Sunday to Thursday: 11:00 to 00:00
 - Friday: 11:00 to 01:00
 - Saturday: 11:00 to 03:00
 - The application, premises and the proposed operation of the premises are described in the application as follows:
 - "This is an application for a new premises licence for Viet Quan at 320 - 322 Old Kent Road, London SE1 5UE.

The premises currently benefits from a premises licence (number: 867491)* for Rock Island, Thomas A Becket 320 - 322 Old Ken Road. The Premises licence does not fit with the current operation of the premises which is a restaurant with karaoke private dining rooms. For example, the premises licence requires the presence of 2 x SIA door supervisors Thursday to Sunday which is not in keeping with a restaurant premises.

This application seeks to rectify those issues so that a premises licence that is appropriate and proportionate to the style of premises in operation can be issued. The premises is split over two levels - the ground floor is a large open plan restaurant with a small rear courtyard. The basement is comprises back of house and three private dining/karaoke rooms.”

* **NB** – please note that the correct licence number in respect of the current premises licence issued in respect of the premises is 870429, and the address on the licence is stated as Viet Qoan, Thomas A Becket, 320 - 322 Old Kent Road, London SE1 5UE (no reference is made to ‘Rock Island’).

10. The premises licence application form provides the applicant’s operating schedule. Parts B, E, F, H, I, J, K, L, and M of the operating schedule set out the proposed licensable activities, operating hours and operating control measures in full, with reference to the four licensing objectives as stated in the Licensing Act 2003. Should a premises licence be issued in respect of the application then the information provided in part M of the operating schedule will form the basis of conditions that will be attached to any licence granted subsequent to the application.
11. Please note that a premises licence is extant regarding the premises (licence number 870429 as referred to above). The licence is held by B&H Properties Limited. Mr Bing Van Le is an active director of B&H Properties Limited and is the designated premises supervisor (DPS) in respect of the licence. Mr Bing Van Le is also an active director regarding Viet Qoan Limited (the applicant in this matter).
12. This application essentially amounts to a continuation of Mr Bing Van Le’s interest in the premises with the proposed effect of adding the basement area to the licensable area of the premises, extending the hours permitted for licensable activities and allowing for the provision of further licensable activities at the premises (films, live music, recorded music and anything similar to live or recorded music). Mr Le could not submit an application to vary premises licence number 870429 because adding the basement floor to the licensable area of the premises would be regarded as a ‘substantial change’ to the premises under the Licensing Act 2003. Under the Licensing Act 2003 any ‘substantial change’ to premises must be facilitated by an application for a new premises licence, and cannot be facilitated through an application to vary an existing licence.
13. For reference, the extant premises licence issued in respect of the premises (licence number 870429) is attached as Appendix A.
14. A copy the application to which this report relates is attached as Appendix B.

Designated Premises Supervisor

15. The proposed designated premises supervisor (DPS) of the premises is Mr Bing Van Le.

Representations from responsible authorities

16. Representations have been submitted by the Metropolitan Police Service, this council's trading standards service and by this council's licensing responsible authority.
17. The Metropolitan Police Service notes that, according to this council's statement of licensing policy, the premises are located in a residential area and that the closing times recommended in the statement of licensing policy regarding restaurants in residential areas are 23:00 hours daily. The Metropolitan Police Service contends that the proposed operation of the premises is not suitable for the location of the premises and could give rise to public nuisance. In addition to this, the Metropolitan Police Service notes that during an inspection of the premises on 7 September 2019 a significant number of breaches of conditions of the premises licence issued in respect of the premises were observed by both police and council officers whilst the DPS, Mr Bing Van Le who is the proposed DPS in relation to this application, was present. In the Metropolitan Police Service's opinion Mr Le was complicit in the aforementioned breaches of licence conditions. The Metropolitan Police Service has serious concerns regarding the current operation of the premises and contends that the proposed operation of the premises is likely to have a negative impact on the promotion of the licensing objectives. The Metropolitan Police Service therefore objects to this application.
18. The trading standards service notes that during an inspection of the premises on 7 September 2019 a significant number of breaches of conditions of the premises licence issued in respect of the premises were observed by both council and police officers whilst Mr Bing Van Le was in charge of the premises. The trading standards service asserts that following the inspection of 7 September 2019 Mr Bing Van Le was invited to an interview under caution in accordance with the Police and Criminal Evidence (PACE) Act 1984, and that at the conclusion of the interview Mr Le stated that he would accept a simple caution in regards to the alleged offences discussed during the PACE interview. The trading standards service notes that Mr Bing Van Le is the proposed DPS in respect of this application and also the sole director of the company that submitted the application. The trading standards service state that, given what was witnessed during the inspection of 7 September 2019, the premises are not well run by Mr Le. The trading standards service states that various options are open to the Licensing Sub Committee in their determination of this application, but that if the application is granted it should be on the condition that the extant premises licence issued in respect of the premises is surrendered.

NB - The trading standards service's representation refers to a transcript of the aforementioned PACE interview as Exhibit 'Viet 5'; however the transcript was not available at the time of the writing of this report and may be produced by the trading standards service at the hearing to determine this application.
19. The licensing responsible authority notes that, according to this council's statement of licensing policy, the premises are located in a residential area and that the closing times recommended in the statement of licensing policy regarding restaurants in residential areas is 23:00 daily. The licensing responsible authority notes that the closing times proposed in the application are later than those recommended in the statement of licensing policy (although the licensing responsible authority notes that the closing times in respect of the extant premises licence issued in respect of the premises are also later than those recommended in the statement of licensing

policy). In addition to this, the licensing responsible authority notes that during an inspection of the premises on 7 September 2019 a significant number of breaches of conditions of the premises licence issued in respect of the premises were observed by both council and police officers whilst the current DPS, Mr Bing Van Le who is the proposed DPS in relation to this application, was present. Subsequent to the inspection of the premises of 7 September 2019 the licensing responsible authority have serious concerns regarding the ability of Mr Bing Van Le to operate the premises compliantly and recommends that this application be refused.

20. Copies of the representations submitted by responsible authorities are attached as Appendix C.

Representations from other persons

21. No representations were submitted by other persons.

Conciliation

22. The applicant has been sent copies of the representations, however due to the nature of the representations conciliation was not considered possible.

Premises history

23. The premises have always operated as licensed premises, historically as a public house and more recently as a nightclub and then restaurant / bar.
24. Prior to 2005 a justices licence had been held in respect of the premises under the now repealed Licensing Act 1964. The licence allowed for the sale of alcohol until 23:00 on Monday to Saturday and until 22:30 on Sundays and also allowed for the provision of late night refreshment until 23:30 daily. There were no opening hours specified in respect of this licence. In 2005 the justices licence was converted into a premises licence under the Licensing Act 2003.
25. In 2010 an application to vary the premises licence was submitted. The application sought to extend the hours permitted for licensable activities and also allow for the provision of regulated entertainment at the premises. The variation application was granted allowing for the provision of various forms of regulated entertainment, late night refreshment and the sale of alcohol until 03:00 Monday to Thursday, until 05:00 on Friday and Saturday and until 00:00 on Sunday. Closing hours were half an hour later than the aforementioned hours on each day. The last holder of this licence was Mr Mohammed Abdul Kashim. On 9 February 2015 the Metropolitan Police Service submitted an application for the summary review of the premises licence. Subsequent to the summary review application, at an interim licensing sub committee hearing, the licence was suspended as an interim step until a full licensing sub committee hearing would be held. At the full licensing sub committee hearing (of 10 March 2015) the licence was permanently revoked.
26. On 22 June 2015 the premises was listed as an asset of community value. A building or land is deemed to be of community value if, in the opinion of the council:
 - An actual current main (non-ancillary) use of the building or land furthers the social interests or social wellbeing of the local community, and it is realistic to think that there can continue to be a main use of the building or land which will further the social interests or social wellbeing of the local community, although not necessarily in the same way, or

- A main use of the building or land in the recent past furthered the social interests or social wellbeing of the local community and it is realistic to think that the building or land can be brought back into use in the future.
27. On 27 February 2017 a new premises licence in respect of the premises was issued to Paul Anthony Scarborough. The licence allowed for the sale of alcohol and the provision of late night refreshment until 23:30 daily and closing times of 00:00 daily.
 28. On 4 April 2017 Mr Scarborough submitted an application to vary the premises licence with the intention of extending the hours permitted for licensable activities. The application was subject to representations and a licensing sub-committee hearing was held on 1 June 2017 to determine the application. At the sub committee hearing the application was granted, but with earlier terminal hours for licensable activities than those applied for. Mr Scarborough appealed this decision at Magistrates Court, however the appeal was dismissed. The operating hours granted are as those regarding the current premises licence issued in respect of the premises (licence number 870420).
 29. The notice of decision regarding the licensing sub committee hearing of 1 June 2017 is attached as Appendix E to provide context as to the licensing sub committees reasoning for its decision to only grant the current operating hours in respect of the premises, and not the later hours that were applied for. Please note, however this application will and must be considered on its own merits.
 30. On 28 November 2018 the premises licence was transferred to the current licensee, B&H Property Limited. Mr Bing Van Le is an active director of B&H Property Limited.
 31. On 26 March 2019 Mr Bing Van Le was specified as the DPS in regards to the premises licence.
 32. Although this is an application for a new premises licence, because the director of the company regarding the premises licence currently held in respect of the premises is the director of the company applying for this licence, the operating history regarding the existing licence is included below.
 33. On Saturday 7 September 2019, between 22:55 and 23:45, licensing enforcement and trading standards enforcement officers, accompanied by the Metropolitan Police (Licensing Division) attended the premises to carry out an inspection of the premises.
 34. During the inspection breaches of the following licence conditions were observed: 305, 341, 342, 4AA, 4AB, 4AC, 841, 844, 845, 846, 848, 849, 851, 852 and 860. These breaches constitute alleged offences under the Licensing Act 2003.
 35. In addition to the above alleged breaches of licence conditions, alleged offences under the Health Act 2006, the Food Safety and Hygiene (England) Regulations 2013, the Consumer Protection from Unfair Trading Regulations 2008, the Companies Act 2006 and the Weights and Measures Act 1985 were also witnessed.
 36. As a consequence of the inspection of 7 September 2019 Me Bing Van Le was invited by letter to an interview under caution in accordance with the Police and Criminal Evidence (PACE) Act 1984. A copy of the PACE interview invitation letter is attached to this report as Appendix D. The PACE interview invitation letter contains further details of the alleged offences mentioned above.

37. On 11 October 2019 a minor variation was submitted to allow for changes to the layout of the ground floor. The application was granted and the premises licence was issued with its current licence number (licence number 870429 – copy of licence attached as Appendix B).
38. Subsequent to the inspection of 7 September 2019 further visits to the premises were made by council officers. Council officer's notes pertaining to the inspection of 7 September 2019, subsequent visits and three prior visits are attached as Appendix F.
39. Details of temporary event notices submitted in respect of the premises are attached as Appendix G.
40. On 11 December 2019 Viet Qoan Limited applied to this council for the grant of a premises licence in respect of Viet Quan, 320 – 322 Old Kent Road, London SE1 5UE. Mr Bing Van Le is an active director of Viet Qoan Limited and is the proposed DPS in respect of the application.

Deregulation of entertainment

41. On 6 April 2015 entertainment became deregulated and as a result:
 - Live unamplified music is deregulated between 08:00hrs and 23:00hrs on any premises.
 - Live amplified music and recorded music are deregulated between 08:00 and 23:00 at on licensed premises for an audience of up to 500 people.
 - Plays and the performance of dance are deregulated between 08:00 and 23:00 for an audience of up to 500 people.
 - Indoor sporting events are deregulated between 08:00 and 23:00 for an audience of up to 1000 people.
42. Live music and recorded music can become licensable in on-licensed premises if the licensing authority removes the effect of deregulation following a licence review ('licence review mechanism').
43. The showing of films has not been de-regulated.

Map

44. A map, showing the location of the premises is attached to this report as Appendix H. The following licensed premises are also shown on the map and provide licensable activities as stated:

Super Pizza, 292 Old Kent Road, London SE1 5UE licensed for:

- Late night refreshment:
 - Sunday to Thursday: 23:00 to 01:30
 - Friday and Saturday: 23:00 to 02:30

Pizza Mania, 356 Old Kent Road, London SE1 5AA licensed for:

- Late night refreshment:
 - Sunday to Thursday: 23:00 to 01:30
 - Friday and Saturday: 23:00 to 02:30

Lush Bar & Restaurant, 280 Old Kent Road, London SE1 5UE licensed for:

- Live music:
 - Monday to Sunday: 23:00 to 01:30
- Recorded music, the sale of alcohol to be consumed on the premises:
 - Sunday to Wednesday: 12:00 to 23:30
 - Sunday to Wednesday: 12:00 to 00:00
 - Sunday to Wednesday: 12:00 to 01:30
- Late night refreshment:
 - Sunday to Wednesday: 23:00 to 23:30
 - Sunday to Wednesday: 23:00 to 00:00
 - Sunday to Wednesday: 23:00 to 01:30

Tesco, 107 Dunton Road, London SE1 5HG licensed for:

- Sale of alcohol to be consumed off the premises:
 - Monday to Saturday: 07:00 to 23:00
 - Sunday: 10:00 to 22:30

Southwark council statement of licensing policy

45. Council assembly approved Southwark's statement of licensing policy 2019 - 2021 on 27 March 2019. The policy came into effect on 28 March 2019. Sections of the statement that are considered to be of particular relevance to the sub-committee's consideration are:

- Section 3 - Purpose and scope of the policy. This reinforces the four licensing objectives and the fundamental principles upon which this Authority relies in determining licence applications
- Section 5 – Determining applications for premises licences and club premises certificates. This explains how the policy works and considers issues such as location; high standards of management; and the principles behind condition setting.
- Section 6 – Local cumulative impact policies. This sets out this Authority's approach to cumulative impact and defines the boundaries of the current special policy areas and the classifications of premises to which they apply. To be read in conjunction with Appendix B to the policy

- Section 7 – Hours of operation. This provides a guide to the hours of licensed operation that this Authority might consider appropriate by type of premises and (planning) area classification.
 - Section 8 – The prevention of crime and disorder. This provides general guidance on the promotion of the first licensing objective
 - Section 9 – Public safety. This provides general guidance on the promotion of the second licensing objective
 - Section 10 – The prevention of nuisance. This provides general guidance on the promotion of the third licensing objective
 - Section 11 – The protection of children from harm. This provides general guidance on the promotion of the fourth licensing objective.
46. The purpose of Southwark’s statement of licensing policy is to make clear to applicants what considerations will be taken into account when determining applications and should act as a guide to the sub-committee when considering the applications. However, the sub-committee must always consider each application on its own merits and allow exceptions to the normal policy where these are justified by the circumstances of the application.
47. According to this council’s statement of licensing policy the premises are located in a residential area. Closing times as stated below are suggested in the statement of licensing policy for the following types of licensed premises located in residential areas:
- Restaurants:
 - Monday to Sunday: 23:00
 - Public houses, wine bars, or other drinking establishments and bars in other types of premises:
 - Monday to Sunday: 23:00

Resource implications

48. A fee of £190.00 has been paid by the applicant company in respect of this application being the statutory fee payable for premises within non-domestic rateable value band B.

Consultation

49. Consultation has been carried out on this application in accordance with the provisions of the Licensing Act 2003. A public notice was published in a local newspaper and a similar notice exhibited outside of the premises for a period of 28 consecutive days.

Community impact statement

50. Each application is required by law to be considered upon its own individual merits with all relevant matters taken into account.

SUPPLEMENTARY ADVICE FROM OTHER OFFICERS

Director of Law and Democracy

51. The sub-committee is asked to determine the application for a premises licence under section 17 of the Licensing Act 2003.
52. The principles which sub-committee members must apply are set out below.

Principles for making the determination

53. The general principle is that applications for premises licence applications must be granted unless relevant representations are received. This is subject to the proviso that the applicant has complied with regulations in advertising and submitting the application.
54. Relevant representations are those which:
 - Are about the likely effect of the granting of the application on the promotion of the licensing objectives
 - Are made by an interested party or responsible authority
 - Have not been withdrawn
 - Are not, in the opinion of the relevant licensing authority, frivolous or vexatious.
55. If relevant representations are received then the sub-committee must have regard to them, in determining whether it is necessary for the promotion of the licensing objectives to:
 - To grant the licence subject to:
 - The conditions mentioned in section 18 (2)(a) modified to such extent as the licensing authority considers necessary for the promotion of the licensing objectives
 - Any condition which must under section 19, 20 or 21 be included in the licence.
 - To exclude from the scope of the licence any of the licensable activities to which the application relates
 - To refuse to specify a person in the licence as the premises supervisor
 - To reject the application.

Conditions

56. The sub-committee's discretion is thus limited. It can only modify the conditions put forward by the applicant, or refuse the application, if it is necessary to do so. Conditions must be necessary and proportionate for the promotion of one of the four licensing objectives, and not for any other reason. Conditions must also be within the control of the licensee, and should be worded in a way which is clear, certain, consistent and enforceable.
57. The four licensing objectives are:
 - The prevention of crime and disorder
 - Public safety

- The prevention of nuisance
 - The protection of children from harm.
58. Members should note that each objective is of equal importance. There are no other licensing objectives, and the four objectives are paramount considerations at all times.
59. Conditions will not be necessary if they duplicate a statutory position. Conditions relating to night café and take away aspect of the license must relate to the night time operation of the premises and must not be used to impose conditions which could not be imposed on day time operators.
60. Members are also referred to the Home Office Revised Guidance issued under section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 on conditions, specifically section 10.

Reasons

61. If the sub-committee determines that it is necessary to modify the conditions, or to refuse the application for a premises licence application, it must give reasons for its decision.

Hearing procedures

62. Subject to the licensing hearing regulations, the licensing committee may determine its own procedures. Key elements of the regulations are that:
- The hearing shall take the form of a discussion led by the authority. Cross examination shall not be permitted unless the authority considered that it is required for it to consider the representations.
 - Members of the authority are free to ask any question of any party or other person appearing at the hearing.
 - The committee must allow the parties an equal maximum period of time in which to exercise their rights to:
 - Address the authority
 - If given permission by the committee, question any other party.
 - In response to a point which the authority has given notice it will require clarification, give further information in support of their application.
 - The committee shall disregard any information given by a party which is not relevant to the particular application before the committee and the licensing objectives.
 - The hearing shall be in public, although the committee may exclude the public from all or part of a hearing where it considers that the public interest in doing so outweighs the public interest in the hearing, or that part of the hearing, taking place in private.
 - In considering any representations or notice made by a party the authority may take into account documentary or other information produced by a party in support of their application, representations or notice (as applicable) either before the hearing or, with the consent of all the other parties, at the hearing.

63. This matter relates to the determination of an application for a premises licence under section 17 of the Licensing Act 2003. Regulation 26(1) (a) requires the sub-committee to make its determination at the conclusion of the hearing.

Council's multiple roles and the role of the licensing sub-committee

64. Sub-committee members will note that, in relation to this application, the council has multiple roles. Council officers from various departments have been asked to consider the application from the perspective of the council as authority responsible respectively for environmental health, trading standards, health and safety and as the planning authority.
65. Members should note that the licensing sub-committee is meeting on this occasion solely to perform the role of licensing authority. The sub-committee sits in quasi-judicial capacity, and must act impartially. It must offer a fair and unbiased hearing of the application. In this case, members should disregard the council's broader policy objectives and role as statutory authority in other contexts. Members must direct themselves to making a determination solely based upon the licensing law, guidance and the council's statement of licensing policy.
66. As a quasi-judicial body the licensing sub-committee is required to consider the application on its merits. The sub-committee must take into account only relevant factors, and ignore irrelevant factors. The decision must be based on evidence, that is to say material, which tends logically to show the existence or non-existence of relevant facts, or the likelihood or unlikelihood of the occurrence of some future event, the occurrence of which would be relevant. The licensing sub-committee must give fair consideration to the contentions of all persons entitled to make representations to them.
67. The licensing sub-committee is entitled to consider events outside of the premises if they are relevant, i.e. are properly attributable to the premises being open. The proprietors do not have to be personally responsible for the incidents for the same to be relevant. However, if such events are not properly attributable to the premises being open, then the evidence is not relevant and should be excluded. Guidance is that the licensing authority will primarily focus on the direct impact of the activities taking place at the licensed premises on members of the public, living, working or engaged in normal activity in the area concerned.
68. Members will be aware of the council's code of conduct which requires them to declare personal and prejudicial interests. The code applies to members when considering licensing applications. In addition, as a quasi-judicial body, members are required to avoid both actual bias, and the appearance of bias.
69. The sub-committee can only consider matters within the application that have been raised through representations from other persons and responsible authorities. Interested parties must live in the vicinity of the premises. This will be decided on a case to case basis.
70. Under the Human Rights Act 1998, the sub-committee needs to consider the balance between the rights of the applicant and those making representations to the application when making their decision. The sub-committee has a duty under section 17 Crime and Disorder Act 1998 when making its decision to do all it can to prevent crime and disorder in the borough.
71. Other persons, responsible authorities and the applicant have the right to appeal the decision of the sub-committee to the magistrates' court within a period of 21 days

beginning with the day on which the applicant was notified by the licensing authority of the decision to be appealed against.

Guidance

72. Members are required to have regard to the Home Office guidance in carrying out the functions of licensing authority. However, guidance does not cover every possible situation, so long as the guidance has been properly and carefully understood, members may depart from it if they have reason to do so. Full reasons must be given if this is the case.

Strategic Director of Finance and Governance

73. The head of regulatory services has confirmed that the costs of this process over and above the application fee are borne by the service.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
Licensing Act 2003 Home Office Revised Guidance to the Act Secondary Regulations Southwark statement of licensing policy Case file	Southwark Licensing, C/O Community Safety and Enforcement, 160 Tooley Street, London, SE1 2QH	Mrs Kirty Read Tel: 020 7525 5748

APPENDICES

Name	Title
Appendix A	Copy of premises licence number 870429
Appendix B	Copy of the application
Appendix C	Copies of the representations submitted
Appendix D	Copy of the PACE interview invitation letter
Appendix E	Notice of decision from 1 June 2017
Appendix F	Details of licensing visits to the premises
Appendix G	Details of temporary event notices submitted in respect of the premises
Appendix H	Map of the local area

AUDIT TRAIL

Lead Officer	Caroline Bruce, Strategic Director of Environment and Leisure	
Report Author	Wesley McArthur, Principal Licensing Officer	
Version	Final	
Dated	23 January 2020	
Key Decision?	No	
CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER		
Officer Title	Comments sought	Comments included
Director of Law and Democracy	Yes	Yes
Strategic Director of Finance and Governance	Yes	Yes
Cabinet Member	No	No
Date final report sent to Constitutional Team	24 January 2020	